

[Free and download] Twenty-First Century Blackjack

## Twenty-First Century Blackjack

Walter Thomason

audiobook / \*ebooks / Download PDF / ePub / DOC



# Twenty-first Century **Blackjack**

A NEW STRATEGY FOR A NEW MILLENNIUM

**WALTER THOMASON**  
Introduction by **FRANK SCOBLETE**

DOWNLOAD



READ ONLINE

#1064114 in Books Taylor Trade Publishing 1995-06-30 Original language: English PDF # 1 8.96 x .52 x 5.98l, .73 #File Name: 156625132X229 pages | File size: 32.Mb

**Walter Thomason : Twenty-First Century Blackjack** before purchasing it in order to gage whether or not it would be worth my time, and all praised Twenty-First Century Blackjack:

0 of 0 people found the following review helpful. His own published results contradicts the premise of the book By Bjarne Smith He compares the blackjack betting styles of flat betting, positive progression and card counting. He also talks about quit points, when to quit betting until a new shoe. There are three very big problems however. One is that his research on quit points used too small a sample size to be meaningful, and even he says the outcome doesn't make

sense. Problem number two is that his findings have been refuted by a person that ran simulations over 1 billion hands, a much larger sample size than that used in the book. It came out with different results. But to me the biggest problem is this, and this is why I gave it one star. His states that in all his tests, the positive progression results beat out the other two betting styles, flat betting and card counting. While that was the case in the 5,000 hand trial, it was not true in the 200,000 hand trial. He can't even interpret his own data finding correctly. According to the chart on page 139, when comparing progressive betting to card counting, he shows the percentage results of the 200,000 hands broken down into four groups of 50,000 hands. At the bottom of the chart the net average for the progressive was -1.65 % (that's NEGATIVE) and the net average for the card counting method was +1.55%. I don't know about you, but I prefer a positive percentage gain to a negative percentage loss when I gamble. Looking at the chart of his published results, it contradicts the whole premise of the book. So the information is practically worthless, except to conclude that card counting in the very long run will put you out ahead, if you play it right and are skilled enough in card counting. So that too me is a complete waste of time.

0 of 0 people found the following review helpful. In a Perfect World...By K. RenzeyI gave this 3 stars because I believe the author was genuine in his intent to test the theories. I am a seasoned card counter that has remained net positive from Day 1. Here's the thing. I don't play anymore. I can't afford to. I live in Ft. Lauderdale, same as the author. We have a few Seminole casinos here (including the Hard Rock in Hollywood, Fl), and judging by the author's conclusions, I'm guessing he's never played in them. I recently attempted to contact Mr. Thomason, but believe he has since passed away. My condolences to his friends and family. Okay, I played 5 separate sessions strictly adhering to the author's rules. Within those sessions, I played 27 shoes. I counted the 1st 5 shoes only for index plays. None of these shoes ever went positive (as expected - see my above statement), ergo I stopped counting. I never raised my bet based on the count so as to keep with the author's betting rules. I lost my entire pocket stake at every session. That's a total of \$2,900 if you're interested to know. The following are my observations of the ideas contained in the book. 1.) You always lose the 2nd bet. Not always, but enough so that you will eventually grind down your bankroll. 2.) The perceived winning streaks, even in the very short term, are almost non-existent. The losing streaks, however, are of biblical proportions. I'll address these shortly. 3.) The 'walk-away' technique, while probably pretty powerful in an honest game, just does not help you in a real game. 4.) The losing streaks will just completely destroy your soul. Now I realize my sample is only a 3rd of what the author's was, I am still not convinced anything he tested will ever work. Know why? Because real card counting makes this a break even game.. at best. We all know what the math says, but casinos have found a way around it. At least the Seminoles have. Great book otherwise, and sincere effort by the author.

0 of 0 people found the following review helpful. Debated Book: BlackJack'D By James MarkettiBy CustomerTwenty-First Century Blackjack Author Walter Thomason Book HasDebatable Results On Page #29 In The Following More Recent\*BlackJack'd: Results From Playing More Than 1,000 Hours Of BlackjackUsing Basic Strategy, Stop Loss Technique And Progressive BettingBy James P. Marketti\*\*\*\*142 Pages \*Computer Software Millions To Billions Of Hands Is 99% Of All Blackjack Literature! THINK REALITYAnd Let The DEBATES Go Forward By Authors, But Nobody Humanly Possible Able Play Millions To BillionsOf Blackjack Hands At A Physical Table In Casino! Good Question Is What Is The Long-Term? \*Foremost Self-Control Discipline Is Essential\*5-Star BOTH Books Due Rarity Of 1%Of Entire Blackjack Literature Decide For Yourself! Humans Are Not Playing Like Software Billions Of Blackjack Hands Be Basic Strategy Or A Card Counter! Especially Like In 21-Century Book Page #53 ".Majority..Time..80% Or More..The COUNT Is Either Neutral Or Negative, And The COUNTER Will Be WageringThe Minimum Amount Required To Be At The Table! \*\*\*Think: Read; Decide For Yourself The Debate Results Of Both Books!\*\*\*

Thomason offers a revolutionary alternative to card counting a betting system that is easy to learn, impervious to casino harassment and, most importantly, more profitable than flat or so-called inspired betting. Thomason conducts live casino field tests joined by several gaming experts.